

**PIEDMONT CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
GEORGE FINA MUNICIPAL BUILDING
314 EDMOND ROAD NW
MONDAY March 26, 2012 – 6:30p.m.**

All regular meetings of the City Council of Piedmont for the 2012 calendar year were set on October 27, 2011. The above Agenda Summary is posted at Piedmont Civic Center Front Door, on March 22, 2012, at 10:00 a.m

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mayor Valerie Thomerson, Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Wade Johnson, Councilman Jeff Davis

ABSENT:

None

STAFF PRESENT:

City Manager Jim Crosby, City Clerk Jennifer Smith, City Attorney Michael Segler, Engineer Joe Davis and Secretary Mary Ramsey

1. CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor Thomerson called the City Council meeting to order at 6:32P.M.

2. ROLL CALL:

City Clerk/Treasurer Jennifer Smith called the roll. There was a quorum present. There were approximately 60 citizens in the audience.

3. FLAG SALUTE:

Mayor Valerie Thomerson

4. INVOCATION:

Michael Segler - Attorney

5. CONSENT AGENDA - All items on the consent agenda are non-controversial and may be approved by one motion for items.

a. Approval of Minutes for the Special City Council meeting on February 16,

2012

- b. Approval of Minutes for the City Council meeting on February 27, 2012.
- c. Approval of Minutes for the Special City Council meeting on March 8, 2012.
- d. Approval of Minutes for the reconvened Special City Council meeting of March 8, 2012.
- e. Acceptance of easements from the Piedmont Independent School District #22
- f. Approval of Purchase Order/Disbursements for the City (Claims List) Receipt of February Financial Statements.

Councilman Vernon Woods would like consent agenda items A,E,F&G pulled.

Councilman Jeff Davis made a **motion** to approve items B,C,&D on the consent agenda. It was **seconded** by Councilman Wade Johnson.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

6. CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA

Councilman Woods stated that items A,E,F & G should be removed from the consent agenda.

Item A minutes from the 2/16/12 council meeting show Councilman Woods voting on item 5C minutes show that he vote no his actual vote was to Abstain to the hiring of the new city Engineer, on item 5D the minutes show that he voted Abstain his actual vote was No on hiring the new city Attorney.

Item E – School Easements. Councilman Wood does not understand some of the items in the minutes. Councilman Woods asked what is the Document on page 1? The document does not have title. Mr. Segler explained that the documents are out of order Page 1 should actually be page 3, page 3 the Deed of Dedication and then the following page is the notary acknowledgement the documents. Councilman Woods agreed that made sense. Councilman Woods has some confusion on what type of street 164th street is considered? Mr. Crosby explained that 164th is considered to be an arterial street. Councilman Woods then asked if 100 feet of easement needed. Mr.Crosby explained that 100 feet on easement is correct. We need

50 feet of easement on each side of the street. We had a 33 feet normal dedication and the school gave an additional 17 feet which is what the document is explaining. Councilman Woods asked about the South side of the street, do we already have it done up? Mr. Crosby explained no, that we currently have 33 feet of easement and when additional developments occur on the south side of 164th the property owner will have to give the additional 17 feet of easement. Councilman Woods asked why the city would have to go back and ask the property owner for the additional 17 feet of easement, why is 50 feet of easement not automatically included in the development. Mr. Crosby explained that the 33 feet of easement is the normal dedication. Attorney Segler explained that 33 feet of easement is mandated by State stature. Mr. Crosby continued to explain that Cities demand on arterial streets a full 50 feet of easement for 4 lane road, additional improvements, deceleration and acceleration lanes, and any other development that may want to occur. Councilman Woods asked about how much easement is in front of the Skyline housing additional which is located off of 164th street? Mr. Crosby would need to go back and check actual footage of easement in front of Skyline. Councilman Woods is asking why the city does not go back and say any arterial street with new development will have to give a full 50 feet of easement to the city. Mr. Crosby explained it is currently part of city ordinance to give a full 50 full of easement. Councilman Woods asked how did it end up 33 feet easement in front of the school? Mr. Crosby explained that it was a normal a 33 foot of easement, since they are developing that land they are giving us 17 feet of easement as required by ordinance to get the city to 50 feet of easement. Mayor Valerie Thomerson explained that prior to the school it was undeveloped land that is why the easement was not there prior to the school. Councilman Woods does not understand why 50 feet of easement is not automatically required, we should not have to back and beg the landowners for 17 feet of easement. Mr. Crosby explained if new developments occurs the property owners will have to give an additional 17 feet for easement as part of the plat, it is mandated. Councilman Woods said that makes sense.

Councilman Woods continued onto Page 3 of the minutes, he is asking about the legal description on the Deed of Dedication, it talks about 777.467 feet to the North and the south he asked what is that? Attorney Segal explained that it is a meats and bounds description. Start 222.35 west of the southeast corner, go to southeast corner, go west 222ft, north 777ft, west 1000ft, south 777ft, east 1097ft to point of beginning. It is a meats and bounds description that is a parcel of property which is essentially 777ft by 1097ft to support a rectangle. It is rectangular piece of property. Councilman Woods asked what the dimensions are? Attorney Segal answered 777ft by 1097ft. It is part of the southwest corner a rectangular piece of property just limited to a part of the southwest corner. It is explained in Exhibit A

City Manger James Crosby refers to the map showing the long rectangular piece of property. He also explained that is a legal description of meats and

bounds at goes with the piece of property.

Councilman Wood asked Mike if he is satisfied with description, Mike said yes.

Mr. Segler refers back to Exhibit A shows the actual 50ft from the center line that is the part that they are actually giving the right away on. This is a general description of the larger parcel.

Councilman Woods asked they are giving us this land for and inconsideration of the special benefits to the remainder of the property. What does that mean?

Mr. Segler explained that special benefits of the remainder of the property means is at some point in time road improvements.

Councilman Woods asked Mr. Crosby about 4 x2 drain pipe on the southeast part of the land. Included in the 33 feet of easement. Is water going to drain out of the 4x2 pipe, if so is water going to go over the streets?

Mr. Crosby and Mr. Davis have been monitoring the drainage. Have gone to site throughout several rains and taken some pictures. Mr. Crosby is concerned about water drainage as well. Presently 2 detention ponds on property part of which is will have concert base on bottom, water will come out turn go to the east to current drain pipe that crosses the road at Stout. Mr. Crosby thinks additional work will have to be done for it to work in an efficient manor.

City Manager James Crosby agrees and explained that he has been monitoring the rains and asked Mr. Davis to continue to look at the situation. Will continue to look at current drainage system and we may have to do some additional drainage system improvements. Mr. Crosby is confident more information is available by next council meeting.

Mayor Thomerson asked that motion on A & E be approved.

Councilman Woods made a motion to approve A with changes on the consent agenda. It was **seconded** by Councilman Davis.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

Councilman Woods made a motion to approve E on the consent agenda. It was **seconded** by Councilman Johnson.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

Item F – Councilman Woods asked Police Department lease rent \$1400, who owns the building?

Councilman Davis stated Ted Hyde did own it.

Councilman Woods asked has it been sold? City Manager Jim Crosby explained Yes the building has sold and we are currently negotiating with the owner for garage space of storage of major items until other arrangements can be made.

Councilman Wood made a motion to approve F on the consent agenda. It was **seconded** by Councilman Johnson.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

Item G – Income Statement

Councilman Woods asked where application fee from Skyline North Development show up in income statement?

Jennifer Smith asked are you referring to fee that they paid into the city. CW clarified the application fee. Jennifer explained that they will fall into the revenue general fund area. Councilman Woods asked if the fee has been paid. Jennifer explained that the fee has been paid.

Councilman Wood made a motion to approve G on the consent agenda. It was **seconded** by Councilman Johnson.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

7. **HEARING OF CITIZENS** - Topics to include those items not listed in the agenda.

Citizen Mike Bell live at 923 Harrison Ave, N.W. Piedmont, Oklahoma. Residence of Piedmont for 37 year. August of last year filled out an open records act request, asking for all correspondence between Mr. Cooley and Mr. Ferguson requested phone numbers, email, and letters concerning Mr. Cooley association with Mr. Ferguson prior to Mr. Ferguson getting hired as the cities attorney. Have yet to get a reply for Mr. Cooley or Mr. Ferguson.

Attorney explained that the Open Records Act directs the activities and responses of the city, if the city clerk had those record and your request was submitted to the city clerk it may or may not be an open record, there is the possibility of a privilege anytime you say correspondence or communication between someone and an attorney that raises a red flag there could be a privilege. The Open Records request is made of the city if the clerk has those records and there is no privilege those records will be turned over to you.

Mike Bell explained that Councilman Cooley has not responded to Mike Bells request. Attorney explained that the open records act does not pertain to Mr. Cooley is pertains to the city.

Mike Bell explained that he asked for something from Councilman Cooley and he did fill obligation to him by not responding.

Mayor asked that we move on.

Citizen John Simpson

I instructed my attorney to send a request out because I had concerns of a conflict of interest between previous attorney Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Cooley. Did get a response from Mr. Ferguson also sent a letter to the city, copy to mayor, Mr. Cooley, City Clerk and City Council. John provided response from Mr. Ferguson then city attorney responding that Mr. Cooley solicited his input on the Williams contact. It states that a decision was made and a two page

opinion was written, based upon Mr. Ferguson response to the letter, he thought the city council knew this was happening. During this process I sent by certified mail out Mr. Cooley, Mayor and City. Mayor signed for her, city signed and Mr. Cooley did not, hired a person to deliver to Mr. Cooley and some how it came back with no postmark to my attorney in Kingfisher. My concern is does rest of the council know about the 2 page opinion out there on the Williams contract?

Mayor Thomerson stated the council is aware of it, under the advice of our Attorney Mr. Miller, It was not something that the council had agreed on; we never saw it, read it, and never looked at it. Document never presented to this council.

Mr. Simpson concern is an opinion was render, the letter states a bill was render but never paid. Basing decision on outside attorney before he was hired that is a conflict of interest before Attorney was hired in the first place. If Mr. Cooley he is making his decisions on forming his opinions that is work product that was created here at the city and this should fall under the guidelines of freedom of information act. Mr. Cooley is still in defiant of his responsibility and his oath of office. The statue says response within reasonable time, the letter was sent 9/29/11, reasonable time has pasted. Councilman is in violation of reasonable time.

Mr. Segler explained that the only recourse is for Mr. Simpson is to file with the district attorney.

Mr. Simpson stated he could do that.

Mr. Segler said that he can not agree or disagree due to lack of time to look at information and has not seen the open records requests.

Mr. Simpson asked if a person has to be a citizen of the community to request documents?

Attorney clarified that that we are talking about two different acts. Freedom of Information act pertains to federal documents. We are talking about the Open records Act; you do not have to be a citizen of the community to make a request.

Citizen Lisa Mueller, asked as a tax payer of the City of Piedmont she is concerned about the possible cost of the lawsuits filed by Councilman Hoss Cooley and Councilman Wade Johnson against the City of Piedmont, as well as the intervener filed by councilman candidate Leon Myer filed against Williams Grocery store contract. What are the status of the lawsuit as well as

who is going to pay for the cost of the lawsuit?

Mr. Segler explained the decision is made by the District Judge.

Citizen Mike Linvill had the same concerns as Lisa Mueller and was satisfied with the answer provided Attorney Segler

Citizen Darleen Mitchell has the same concerns as Lisa Mueller and Mike Linvill and did not approach the Council.

Mike Flannagan – Piedmont residence and President of Oklahoma Bicycle Consortium, he read in the Piedmont Gazette that the 3 foot passing law would be on this month agenda, it is not on the agenda and he would like to know why.

City Manager Jim Crosby addressed by explaining that he received a request on this item from Councilman Woods and he was under the understanding that an ordinance would need to be created before the item could be added to that agenda. He asked Mr. Segler to write an ordinance and due to the limited time an ordinance could not be created in time for the council meeting. Councilman Woods clarified that an ordinance did not need to be created just needed to be added to the agenda for discussion. The item of the 3 foot passing lane will be on next month council agenda.

Mike Flannagan asked if his input would be helpful when writing the ordinance, City Manager Jim Crosby and Mayor Valerie Thomerson both welcomed feedback from Mike and asked that he direct his feedback to City Manager Jim Crosby.

Introduction of new Secretary Mary Ramsey, Jennifer Smith explained that Mary Ramsey will be serving as Secretary to all the board meetings, taking notes and preparing minutes.

8. **BUSINESS ITEMS –**

- a. **CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON A PRELIMINARY PLAT, (EMERALD POINTE EAST ADDITION), BY CINDY BOEVERS AND RETA STRUBHAR, AS REPRESENTED BY WARREN PEACOCK P.E., P.O. BOX 720936 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73127 CONTAINING 80.82 ACRES MORE OR LESS MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AS A TRACT OF LAND IN PIEDMONT, CANADIAN COUNTY, OKLAHOMA (TAB #10)**

Warren Peacock with WKM Design Group representing the applicant,

addressed Council, he attended Planning Commission meeting two weeks ago and received approval has forwarded request onto the City Council and have addressed all of the staffs concerns, made adjustments to the Plat.

Councilman Woods pointed out that the Agenda states a Public Hearing and this is not a Public Hearing,

Mayor Thomerson pointed out the same, Mr. Crosby apologize and will have it corrected.

Councilman Woods is concerned with all of the legal language used in Plat request, legal language makes it difficult to citizens as well as himself to understand what type of development is being added. He requested at all language at ease for citizens to understand.

Councilman Woods asked if the engineers have looked at the water pressure for this development, is the water pressure good enough to fight a fire?

Mr. Davis stated yes.

Councilman Woods asked what type of sewage system is going into place?

Mr. Davis answered, Aerobic

Councilman Woods, asked about the 2 pages of concerns from January 23rd, have all of the concerns been addressed?

Mr. Davis answered, all concerned have been addressed and they had some additional concerns, those concerns have been addressed as well.

Councilman Woods asked about Easements, how far North of 164th does the Easement go?

Mr. Davis, explained the statutory 33 feet and an additional 17 feet, beginning the development to a total of 50 feet.

Councilman Woods asked about acceleration and deceleration lanes, it is his understanding that ordinance where changed to require all new development are to include acceleration and deceleration lanes along with road improvements. Also asked about number of entrances into development?

Warren Peacock, explained there are two entrances for the development. Another connection is being added to the west of the existing Emerald Point addition, giving two ways in and out.

Warren Peacock continued that they have not been required in the past to

add acceleration and deceleration along with road improvements on ½ acre and 1 acre lots.

Councilman Woods would like to see a copy of the ordinance. It is his understanding that changes were made to add acceleration, deceleration and road improvements.

Mr. Crosby, explained that ordinance will have to be researched. What is in front of the council is not the final Plat and that item will be addressed before a final Plat is approved.

Councilman Davis made a motion to approved this item. It was **seconded** by Councilman Johnson

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

- b. **CONSIDERATION, DISCUSSION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO RECOMMEND AMENDING THE MUNICIPAL CODE, SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS, CHAPTER 5, SECTION V-2, BY ADDING NEW SUBSECTION V-2-G TO REQUIRE CONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS IN CERTAIN RESIDENTIAL AND ALL COMMERCIAL ZONING DISTRICTS; AND AMENDING PART 14, STREETS AND SIDEWALKS, BY ADDING NEW CHAPTER 5 RELATING TO SIDEWALKS, ADDING SECTION 14-501 REQUIRING PERMITS; ADDING 14-502 PROVIDING FOR AN APPLICATION FOR PERMIT; ADDING SECTION 14-503 PROVIDING A FEE; ADDING SECTION 14-504 PROVIDING FOR SUBMISSION OF PLANS; ADDING SECTION 14-505 RELATING TO EXPIRATION AND CONDITIONS OF PERMIT; ADDING SECTION 14-510 PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT; ADDING SECTION 14-511 DEFINING VIOLATIONS; SECTION 14-520 DEFINING CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS; ADDING SECTION 14-521 RELATING TO MAINTENANCE; DECLARING REPEALER; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. (TAB #11).**

Councilman Cooley has concerns about once a development at 80% completion the developer being required to go ahead and complete the sidewalk on lots that have not been developed yet. He drove through East

Wind and noticed that the side walks are wiped out by concrete trucks backing up over them when putting in a new house. You can not ask a person backing up a big concrete truck to pay for a new sidewalk.

Councilman Cooley also, thinks sidewalks should only be dropped down to ½ acre lot instead of acre lot.

Additionally, in Oklahoma City they require on residential property 4 foot sidewalk and commercial is 5 foot sidewalk. For the commercial property they use a 5 foot sidewalk with 1 foot of the sidewalk as a bumper when the wheels of the car hit the sidewalk front end of car hangs over sidewalk 1 foot, leaving 4 feet of sidewalk. 5 feet of sidewalk is too much for residential areas.

Mayor Thomerson asked Councilman Woods if he could paraphrase his comments on the Sidewalk Ordinance.

Councilman Woods has not made any changes to the content of the Ordinance, in other words – 5 foot sidewalks are fine with him. The changes he has made are hopefully to clean up any technical issues with the actual ordinance so we don't have problems in the future with interpretation.

In item 1, paragraph 2, Sidewalks shall also be required on any residential property requiring curb and gutter. In the 1st paragraph it says, Sidewalks shall be required for all new residential construction on all lots one (1) acre or less,

Councilman Woods ask that we clean up the wording to make it more clear to understand in the future.

Mr. Segler addressed this concern by explaining some cities Code of Ordinances are divided up, some cities Code of Ordinances are called Articles. Article 1 Admission, Article 2 Businesses, Article 3 and down to traffic and so forth, those are Articles. His understanding of what we have here are Divisions, so the Code of Ordinances, the book itself divided each one of those parts and are called a division. This would properly be referred to as a subdivision.

Councilman Woods asked, I thought we were in sections?

Mayor Thomerson also asked I thought we were Chapters and Sections.

Mr. Segler said then it is the wrong word.

Councilman Woods clarified that he wants the wording in the Ordinance to be meaningful.

Item 1, paragraph 3. The Planning Commission shall determine whether

sidewalks shall be required for the common grounds located within any subdivision. If a sidewalk is required on one residential lot, a sidewalk may, at the discretion of the Planning Commission, be required on any contiguous lots owned by the same property owner.

Councilman Woods would like the words at the discretion of the Planning Commission deleted. He does not understand that wording, he said it makes the Ordinance subjective and he wants it to be absolute. One person owning continuous lots they need to be required to complete the sidewalks when one of the houses is completed.

Item 1 paragraph 4, When occupancy permits have been issued for eighty (80%) of any residential subdivision, the Developer shall install sidewalks on all remaining lots.

Councilman Woods asked should a bond be required, necessary or appropriate? To address Councilman Cooley's concerns about the 80% sidewalk completion will cost the developer additional cost, Councilman Woods thinks that completing the sidewalks at 80% is a good thing. If a citizen is out trying to walk the sidewalks and they pick up and end, that is not a good way to run a development.

Councilman Woods thinks the 80% should stay in, but a Bond should be required specifically so that the sidewalks will be completed upon 80% of the development.

Item 2 Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. Sidewalks shall be installed for all new construction within commercial and industrial zones on all lots having frontage on public streets. Sidewalks shall extend to the entrance of said commercial business.

Councilman Woods asked will this apply to schools and public building beside commercial buildings? He continued everyone should be involved.

Item 3 Platted Subdivisions. Sidewalks shall be required on all new subdivisions on public streets which form the exterior boundary of such subdivisions.

Councilman Woods explained that he is not sure what this means? Asked what size lot are in development Emerald Point?

Mayor Thomerson answered ½ acre lots.

Councilman Woods asked about development East Wind and if it has sidewalks on the outside of the development?

Councilman Cooley answered no, it was not required.

Councilman Woods asked would this Ordinance require it?

Councilman Cooley – Yes.

Councilman Woods asked Ron is that how you understand it?

Ron answered Yes.

Paragraph 5 Time of Construction. Sidewalks shall be required at the time of building permit and completed before a certificate of occupancy is issued; provided, sidewalks on exterior boundaries of platted subdivisions shall be installed by the developer at the time of installation of other plat improvements.

Councilman Woods asked What are plat improvements?

Ron explained – a Developer files for a primary plat and then must complete plat improvements before he can get final plat and then start selling lots. Sidewalks inside the development would be when the homes are built. Out on the section line road the developer would need to complete plat improvements such as frontage access into the development, acceleration/deceleration lanes and sidewalks would follow along with plat improvements.

Ron explained that he felt like he would speak for the rest of the Planning Commission that they share the same concern as the Councilman about the wording in the Ordinance and are okay with changes to the Ordinance as long as the intent of the Ordinance can stay the same. He asked if would possible for the City Attorney to sit down with the City Engineer and go over verbiage and resubmit the Ordinance to the Planning Commission?

City Manger Jim Cosby suggested the Ordinance be postponed in defiantly and a new Ordinance are submitted by the next meeting.

Councilman Johnson made a motion that we postpone this item indefinably. It was **seconded** by Councilman Woods.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NYE: None

- c. RECOMMEND PLANNING COMMISSION TO CHANGE THE REGULAR MEETING DAT TO ACCOMMODATE ATTENDANCE FOR SCHOOL BOARD MEETING.

Councilman Johnson made a motion to approve this item. It was **seconded** by Councilman Davis.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

9 NEW BUSINESS –

- a. **Unplanned Items – Requires 4/5ths Majority to Declare “New Business.”**

None

MOTION TO ADJOURN AND RECONVENE TO PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY

Mayor Pro-Tem Gage made a **motion** to adjourn and reconvene to PMA at 7:34P.M. It was **seconded** by Councilman Davis.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NAYE: None

10. PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY

MOTION TO ADJOURN AND RECONVENE TO SPECIAL PIEDMONT SPECIAL PROJECTS AUTHORITY

No Meeting of the PSPA

11. **COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS-**

Councilman Davis has had a few complaints on Roads, he has addressed them with City Manger and would like to follow up.

Sara Rd. between 192nd & 206 needs some shell or grave on the road it is nothing but mud.

Also on Mustang Rd. between 192nd & 206 needs some shell or grave on road.

No complaints yet but has noticed on Arrowhead Rd. between Piedmont Rd and Mustang Rd. there is a low spot where the new house have been going in. We may want to look at some drainage in this area

12. **ADJOURN –**

Councilman Johnson made a **motion** to adjourn the meeting at 7:47P.M. It was **seconded** by Councilman Davis.

The vote was:

AYE: Mayor Pro-Tem Larry Gage, Councilman Hoss Cooley, Councilman Vernon Woods, Councilman Jeff Davis and Councilman Wade Johnson

NYE: None

Mayor, Valerie Thomerson

Mary Ramsey, Secretary